arXiv:1409.0435v2 [math-ph] 25 Feb 2015

Asymptotics for Toeplitz determinants: perturbation of symbols with a gap

Christophe Charlier* and Tom Claeys*

February 26, 2015

Abstract

We study the determinants of Toeplitz matrices as the size of the matrices tends to infinity, in the particular case where the symbol has two jump discontinuities and tends to zero on an arc of the unit circle at a sufficiently fast rate. We generalize an asymptotic expansion by Widom [22], which was known for symbols supported on an arc. We highlight applications of our results in the Circular Unitary Ensemble and in the study of Fredholm determinants associated to the sine kernel.

1 Introduction

We consider Toeplitz determinants of the form

$$D_n(f) = \det(f_{j-k})_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1}, \qquad f_j = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})e^{-ij\theta}d\theta, \tag{1.1}$$

where the symbol f is given by

$$f(e^{i\theta}) = e^{W(e^{i\theta})} \times \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } -\theta_0 \le \theta \le \theta_0, \\ s, & \text{for } \theta_0 < \theta < 2\pi - \theta_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

and f_k is the k-th Fourier coefficient of f. The symbol depends on the parameters $s \in [0,1]$ and $\theta_0 \in (0,\pi)$, and on a function $W(z)$ which we assume to be analytic in a neighbourhood of the unit circle. In the simplest case where $W(z) = 0$, f is piecewise constant with jump discontinuities at $e^{\pm i\theta_0}$.

For $s \in [0,1]$ and $\theta_0 \in (0,\pi)$ fixed, the large n asymptotic behavior for the Toeplitz determinants $D_n(f) = D_n(s,\theta_0,W)$ is well understood. For $s = 0$, the symbol is supported on the arc $\gamma = \{e^{i\theta} : -\theta_0 \le \theta \le \theta_0\}$. If f is positive on γ and symmetric, $f(e^{i\theta}) = f(e^{-i\theta})$, we have the following asymptotics due to Widom [22],

$$\begin{aligned} \ln D_n(s=0,\theta_0,W) &= n^2 \ln\sin\frac{\theta_0}{2} + n\widetilde{W}_0 - \frac{1}{4}\ln n \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\widetilde{W}_k\widetilde{W}_{-k} - \frac{1}{4}\ln\cos\frac{\theta_0}{2} + \frac{1}{12}\ln 2 + 3\zeta'(-1) + o(1), \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

*Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique, Université catholique de Louvain, Chemin du Cyclotron 2, B-1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, BELGIUM

where $\widetilde{W}_k$ is the k-th Fourier coefficient of $\widetilde{W}$, defined by

$$\widetilde{W}(e^{i\theta}) = W(e^{2i \arcsin(\sin\frac{\theta_0}{2} \sin\frac{\theta}{2})}), \tag{1.4}$$

and where ζ is the Riemann ζ-function. For $W = 0$, it was shown in [17, 18] that this result holds not only for $0 < \theta_0 < \pi$ fixed, but also if θ_0 approaches π slowly enough such that $n(\pi - \theta_0)$ is large. The error term in (1.3) then becomes $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1}(\pi - \theta_0)^{-1})$.

On the other end of the parameter range for s, we have $s = 1$: here the symbol is smooth and we have the Szegő asymptotics

$$\ln D_n(s = 1, \theta_0, W) = nW_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kW_kW_{-k} + o(1), \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

For $0 < s < 1$, f has two jump discontinuities which are special cases of Fisher-Hartwig (FH) singularities. FH singularities are generally characterized by two parameters: α, which describes a root-type singularity, and β, which describes a jump discontinuity. Our symbol f has Fisher-Hartwig singularities at $e^{\pm i\theta_0}$ with parameters $\alpha = 0$ (which means that there are no root-type singularities) and $\beta = \mp\frac{1}{2\pi i}\log s$. Asymptotics for Toeplitz determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities have been studied by many authors [15, 23, 2, 3, 4, 14, 8, 9]. Applied to our symbol f, the results from, e.g., [8] imply that, for $s \in (0, 1)$ fixed, i.e. independent of n, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\ln D_n(s, \theta_0, W) = nW_0 + \frac{(\ln s)^2}{2\pi^2}\ln n + \frac{\ln(2\sin\theta_0)}{2\pi^2} \\ + \frac{\ln s}{\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}(W_k + W_{-k})\sin(k\theta_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} kW_kW_{-k} \\ + 2\ln\left(G\left(1 + \frac{\ln s}{2\pi i}\right)G\left(1 - \frac{\ln s}{2\pi i}\right)\right) + o(1),\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

as $n \to \infty$, where G is Barnes' G-function.

If we let s tend to 0, the jump discontinuities of f turn into endpoints of the support of f, and f transforms from a Fisher-Hartwig symbol to an arc-supported symbol on $[-\theta_0, \theta_0]$. Nevertheless, letting $s \to 0$ in (1.6), we do not recover the Widom asymptotics (1.3). This means that a non-trivial critical transition in the asymptotic behavior for $D_n(s, \theta_0, W)$ takes place as $s \to 0$. In this paper, we show that the Widom asymptotics (1.3) extend to the case where $s \neq 0$ but $s = s(n) \to 0$ sufficiently rapidly as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\theta_0 \in (0, \pi)$ and define*

$$x_c = -2\ln\tan\frac{\theta_0}{4}. \tag{1.7}$$

Let f be of the form (1.2) with W analytic in a neighbourhood of the unit circle. As $n \to \infty$ and simultaneously $s \to 0$ in such a way that $0 \leq s \leq e^{-x_c n}$, we have

$$\ln D_n(s, \theta_0, W) = \ln D_n(0, \theta_0, W) + o(1). \tag{1.8}$$

The error term $o(1)$ is uniform for $0 \leq s \leq e^{-x_c n}$ and $\epsilon \leq \theta_0 \leq \pi - \epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$, and can be specified as

$$o(1) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2}e^{x_c n}s). \tag{1.9}$$



Figure 1: As $n \to \infty$, $\ln D_n(s, \theta_0, W)$ follows Widom asymptotics for $s = 0$, Fisher-Hartwig asymptotics for $s \in (0, 1)$ fixed, and Szegő asymptotics for $s = 1$. Theorem 1.1 implies that the Widom asymptotics remain valid as $n \to \infty$ with $s = s(n)$ below the curve $s = e^{-x_c n}$. As $n \to \infty$ with $s = s(n)$ above the curve, a different type of asymptotic behavior is expected.

In addition, the result extends to the case where θ_0 approaches π at a sufficiently slow rate: (1.8) holds for $\epsilon < \theta_0 < \pi - \frac{M}{n}$ with M sufficiently large and $0 \le s \le e^{-x_c n}$, with the error term given by

$$o(1) = \mathcal{O}((\pi - \theta_0)^{1/2} n^{-1/2} e^{n x_c} s). \tag{1.10}$$

Remark 1.2 We believe the bound $s \le e^{-x_c n}$ is sharp: as $n \to \infty$, $s \to 0$ with $s > e^{-x_c n}$, the asymptotic behavior for $\ln D_n(s, \theta_0, W)$ is expected to be described in terms of elliptic θ-functions. For a heuristic discussion, see Section 5.4.

Remark 1.3 If f is positive on γ and even in θ, the asymptotics for $\ln D_n(0, \theta_0, W)$ in (1.8) are given by (1.3). The perturbative result (1.8) is valid for general analytic W, even if the positivity and symmetry conditions needed for the Widom asymptotics do not hold. Note that the error term $o(1) = \mathcal{O}((\pi - \theta_0)^{1/2} n^{-1/2} e^{n x_c} s)$ in (1.8) improves as θ_0 approaches π. This is reasonable since the perturbation of the arc-supported symbol then takes place on a shrinking arc near -1. For our proof, it is however crucial that $n(\pi - \theta_0)$ is sufficiently large.

Application 1: the Circular Unitary Ensemble

Consider the Circular Unitary Ensemble (CUE) which is the set of unitary $n \times n$ matrices with the Haar measure. The eigenvalues $e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_n}$ in this ensemble have the joint probability distribution

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n n!} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le n} |e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k}|^2 \prod_{j=1}^{n} d\theta_j, \qquad \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \in [0, 2\pi). \tag{1.11}$$

Define the random variable $X = X_{\theta_0}$ as the number of eigenvalues of a CUE matrix on the arc $\gamma = \{e^{i\theta} : -\theta_0 \le \theta \le \theta_0\}$. The average value of X_{θ_0} is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_n(X_{\theta_0}) = \frac{\theta_0}{\pi} n. \tag{1.12}$$

Define the moment generating function

$$F_n(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_n(e^{\lambda X_{\theta_0}}). \tag{1.13}$$

By (1.11), we can write this as

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n n!} \int_{[0,2\pi]^n} \prod_{1\le j<k\le n} |e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k}|^2 e^{\lambda X_{\theta_0}} \prod_{j=1}^n d\theta_j & (1.14)\\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n n!} \int_{[0,2\pi]^n} \prod_{1\le j<k\le n} |e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k}|^2 \prod_{j=1}^n e^{\lambda \chi_\gamma(e^{i\theta_j})} d\theta_j, & (1.15) \end{aligned}$$

with χ_γ the characteristic function of the arc γ. But using the standard multiple integral representation for Toeplitz determinants, we obtain

$$F_n(\lambda) = e^{n\lambda} D_n(s = e^{-\lambda}, \theta_0, W = 0). \tag{1.16}$$

This is an identity for any $\lambda \ge 0$. The moment generating function $F_n(\lambda)$ at large positive values of λ contains statistical information about large deviations where X_{θ_0} is much bigger than its average value. As an illustration, a rough estimate of the integral in (1.15) gives us the inequality

$$\mathrm{Prob}_n\left[X_{\theta_0} \ge p\right] \le e^{-p\lambda} F(\lambda) = e^{(n-p)\lambda} D_n(e^{-\lambda}, \theta_0, 0), \tag{1.17}$$

which holds for any $\lambda \ge 0$ and $p \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$. Theorem 1.1 gives asymptotics for $D_n(e^{-\lambda}, \theta_0, 0)$ for $\lambda \ge n x_c$. For large n, the minimum of the right hand side of (1.17) in the range $\lambda \ge n x_c$ is attained near

$$\lambda = n x_c = -2n \ln \tan \frac{\theta_0}{4}. \tag{1.18}$$

Substituting this value for λ and the asymptotic behavior for $D_n(e^{-nx_c}, \theta_0, 0)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Prob}_n\left[X_{\theta_0} \ge p\right] \le{}& \left(\tan \frac{\theta_0}{4}\right)^{-2n^2+2pn} \left(\sin \frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)^{n^2} \\ &\times \quad n^{-1/4} \left(\cos \frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)^{-1/4} 2^{1/12} e^{3\zeta'(-1)} (1 + o(1)), \qquad n \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

For typical values of $p \sim \frac{\theta_0}{\pi} n$, and even more generally for $p < (1-c)n$ with

$$c = \frac{\ln \sin \frac{\theta_0}{2}}{2 \ln \tan \frac{\theta_0}{4}}, \tag{1.20}$$

this estimate does not give any useful information since the right hand side is large for large n. We do get non-trivial information for large deviations from the typical value.

If we let $p = n - \omega(n)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Prob_n}\left[X_{\theta_0} \geq p\right] \leq \left(\tan\frac{\theta_0}{4}\right)^{-2n\omega(n)} \left(\sin\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)^{n^2} \times \quad n^{-1/4}\left(\cos\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)^{-1/4} 2^{1/12} e^{3\zeta'(-1)}(1+o(1)), \quad (1.21)$$

as $n \to \infty$, and the right hand side decays whenever $\omega(n) < cn$. If $\omega(n) = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$, we observe that the probability to have at least $n - \omega(n)$ eigenvalues on γ can be estimated by

$$\mathrm{Prob_n}\left[X_{\theta_0} \geq n - \omega(n)\right] = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/4} e^{n^2\phi(\theta_0) - 2n\omega(n)\ln\tan\frac{\theta_0}{4}}), \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty, \quad (1.22)$$

where the rate function ϕ is given by $\phi(\theta_0) = \ln\sin\frac{\theta_0}{2}$. Note that this is not a large deviation principle since we only have an asymptotic upper bound for the left hand side of (1.22). A more detailed analysis of the moment generating function $F(\lambda)$ may lead to better estimates, but it is not our aim to proceed in this direction.

Application 2: sine kernel determinants

In the double scaling limit where $n \to \infty$ and θ_0 approaches π at rate $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$, the Toeplitz determinant $D_n(s, \theta_0, 0)$ converges to the Fredholm determinant of the operator $1 - (1-s)K_y$, where K_y is the integral operator acting on $(-y, y)$ with kernel $\frac{\sin\pi(x-t)}{\pi(x-t)}$: we have [10, formulas (236)-(241)]

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} D_n(s, \theta_0 = \pi(1 - \frac{2y}{n}), 0) = \det(1 - (1-s)K_y), \quad (1.23)$$

where the operator K_y is defined by

$$(K_y g)(x) = \int_{-y}^{y} \frac{\sin\pi(x-t)}{\pi(x-t)} g(t)dt. \quad (1.24)$$

Asymptotics for the right hand side of (1.23) have been studied by Dyson [13], see also [10] for a historical review; the double scaling limit where $y \to \infty$ and simultaneously $s \to 0$ appears to be subtle. As $y \to \infty$, $s \to 0$ in such a way that $s \leq e^{-2\pi y}$, with

$$c = \frac{1}{12}\ln 2 + 3\zeta'(-1), \quad (1.25)$$

we have

$$\ln\det(1 - (1-s)K_y) = -\frac{\pi^2 y^2}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\ln\pi y + c + o(1). \quad (1.26)$$

Except for $s = 0$, (1.26) had not been proved rigorously until the recent paper [6], where double scaling asymptotics were obtained both for $s \leq e^{-2\pi y}$ and for $s > e^{-2\pi y}$. The value of the constant (1.25) was also proved in [6].

As a consequence of our Theorem 1.1, we re-derive (1.26) and (1.25). Indeed, by (1.3), (1.8), and (1.10), we have

$$\ln D_n(s, \pi(1-\frac{2y}{n}), 0) = n^2 \ln\cos\frac{\pi y}{n} - \frac{1}{4}\ln n - \frac{1}{4}\ln\sin\frac{\pi y}{n} + c + \mathcal{O}(\frac{y^{1/2}}{n} e^{nx_c} s) + \mathcal{O}(y^{-1}),$$

(1.27)

with the error term uniform for n, y large, $y^{1/2}/n$ small, and

$$s \leq e^{-x_c n} = e^{2n \ln \tan \frac{\theta_0}{4}} = e^{2n \ln \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi y}{2n}\right)}. \tag{1.28}$$

The right hand side of (1.28) can be written as $e^{-2\pi y}\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(y^2 n^{-1})\right)$ for n, y large and y^2/n small.
Now, let us choose an arbitrary small function $\epsilon(y) > 0$ and assume $s \leq (1-\epsilon(y))e^{-2\pi y}$. Then for n sufficiently large, i.e. for $n >> y^2/\epsilon(y)$, (1.28) holds, and letting $n \to \infty$ in (1.27), we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \ln D_n(s, \pi(1 - \frac{2y}{n}), 0) = -\frac{\pi^2 y^2}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\ln \pi y + c + \mathcal{O}(y^{-1}), \tag{1.29}$$

for large y. We thus re-derive (1.26) in the double scaling limit where $y \to \infty, s \to 0$ in such a way that $s \leq (1 - \epsilon(y))e^{-2\pi y}$, and we confirm the value of the constant (1.25), using different methods than in [6].

In [6], the double scaling asymptotics $y \to \infty, s \to 0$ such that $s \geq e^{-2\pi y}$ have also been considered, and asymptotics for $\det(1 - (1-s)K_y)$ in terms of elliptic θ-functions were obtained. This is consistent with Remark 1.2 and the discussion in Section 5.4.

Outline

In Section 2, we will derive identities which express $\frac{d}{ds}\ln D_n(s, \theta_0, W)$ in terms of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. In Section 3, we will study an equilibrium problem which is crucial to obtain asymptotics for the orthogonal polynomials. In Section 4, we will obtain asymptotics for the orthogonal polynomials as the degree tends to infinity, via the Riemann-Hilbert (RH) method. An important novel feature in the RH analysis is the construction of a *modified Bessel parametrix* compared to Bessel parametrices that appeared in the literature before. This modification is needed because $s \neq 0$. The asymptotics for the orthogonal polynomials will lead us towards large n asymptotics for $\frac{d}{ds}\ln D_n(s, \theta_0, W)$. Integrating the differential identity will complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 5 for θ_0 fixed. In addition, we explain how the proof can be extended to the case where $\theta_0 \to \pi$ at a sufficiently slow rate.

2 Differential identities for Toeplitz determinants

In this section, we derive an identity for $\frac{d}{ds}\ln D_n(s, \theta_0, W)$ in terms of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Define $\phi_j, \widehat{\phi_j}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ as the family of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle characterized by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \phi_k(e^{i\theta})\widehat{\phi_m}(e^{-i\theta})f(e^{i\theta})d\theta = \delta_{km}, \qquad \forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the degree of $\phi_j, \widehat{\phi_j}$ is j, and their leading coefficients χ_j are equal and positive.

For general weight functions $f(e^{i\theta})$, it is a standard fact that $\phi_n, \widehat{\phi_n}$ exist and are unique if $D_n(f), D_{n+1}(f) \neq 0$ and that they are given by the determinantal formulas

[21]

$$\phi_n(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n D_{n+1}}} \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & f_{-1} & f_{-2} & \cdots & f_{-n} \\ f_1 & f_0 & f_{-1} & \cdots & f_{-n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{n-1} & f_{n-2} & f_{n-3} & \cdots & f_{-1} \\ 1 & z & z^2 & \cdots & z^n \end{vmatrix}, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\widehat{\phi}_n(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n D_{n+1}}} \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & f_{-1} & f_{-2} & \cdots & f_{-n+1} & 1 \\ f_1 & f_0 & f_{-1} & \cdots & f_{-n+2} & z \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_n & f_{n-1} & f_{n-2} & \cdots & f_1 & z^n \end{vmatrix}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $D_n = D_n(f)$ is the Toeplitz determinant defined in (1.1), and where f_k is, as before, the k-th Fourier coefficient of f. If $f(e^{i\theta})$ is positive, $D_n(f) > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the OPs are well-defined. For complex f, existence and uniqueness of the orthogonal polynomials is not guaranteed for any n. For f given in (1.2), it will follow from our analysis that they are well-defined for n sufficiently large and $0 \leq s \leq e^{-x_c n}$. If $W = 0$, the symbol f is even in θ and positive, which implies that $\widehat{\phi}_k = \phi_k = \overline{\phi_k}$.

From (2.2), we see that the leading coefficient χ_n of ϕ_n is equal to

$$\chi_n = \chi_n(s, \theta_0, W) = \sqrt{\frac{D_n(s, \theta_0, W)}{D_{n+1}(s, \theta_0, W)}}.$$

We can thus express $D_n(s, \theta_0, W)$ in terms of the leading coefficients of the OPs if $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_n \neq 0$:

$$D_n(s, \theta_0, W) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_j^{-2}. \tag{2.4}$$

To obtain an asymptotic formula for $D_n(s, \theta_0, W)$ from this formula, we would need information about all OPs of degree 0 up to $n-1$. To circumvent this problem, we derive differential identities for $\ln D_n(s, \theta_0, W)$.

2.1 Differential identity for general W

For general sufficiently smooth symbols $f(z; s)$ depending on a parameter s, there exists an identity for the logarithmic derivative of $D_n(f)$ with respect to s: if $D_{n-1}, D_n, D_{n+1} \neq 0$, we have [9, Proposition 3.3]

$$\partial_s \ln D_n(s, \theta_0, W) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S_1} z^{-n} \left[Y^{-1}(z) Y'(z) \right]_{21} \partial_s f(z, s) dz,$$

where S_1 is the unit circle in the complex plane, $' = \frac{d}{dz}$, $\partial_s = \frac{d}{ds}$, and

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_n^{-1} \phi_n(z) & \chi_n^{-1} \displaystyle\int_{S_1} \frac{\phi_n(w)}{w - z} \frac{f(w)}{2\pi i w^n} dw \\ -\chi_{n-1} z^{n-1} \widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(z^{-1}) & -\chi_{n-1} \displaystyle\int_{S_1} \frac{\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(w^{-1})}{w - z} \frac{f(w)}{2\pi i w} dw \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

For our symbol given by (1.2), this formula reduces to

$$\partial_s \ln D_n(s,\theta_0,W) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma^c} z^{-n}\left[Y^{-1}(z)Y'(z)\right]_{21} e^{W(z)}dz, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\gamma^c = S_1 \setminus \gamma$.

2.2 Differential identity for $W = 0$

If $W = 0$, the integral at the right hand side of (2.6) can be simplified. Although (2.6) will be sufficient for the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will show here how to simplify the differential identity if $W = 0$. This will allow us to give a more elegant proof of Theorem 1.1 in this case.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $D_n(s,\theta_0,0)$ be the Toeplitz determinant with symbol (1.2) in the case where $W(e^{i\theta}) = 0$. We have the following differential identity for $\ln D_n$:*

$$\partial_s \ln D_n(s,\theta_0,0) = -2n\frac{\partial_s\chi_n}{\chi_n} + \frac{2(1-s)}{\pi}\mathrm{Im}\left(\overline{\phi_n(e^{i\theta_0})}\partial_s\phi_n(e^{i\theta_0})\right). \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Taking the logarithm of both sides in (2.4), and then differentiating with respect to s, we get

$$\partial_s \ln D_n(s,\theta_0,0) = -2\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\frac{\partial_s\chi_j}{\chi_j}.$$

On the other hand, by (2.1),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\partial_s\left(\phi_j(e^{i\theta})\overline{\phi_j(e^{i\theta})}\right)f(e^{i\theta})d\theta = 2\frac{\partial_s\chi_j}{\chi_j},$$

and this gives

$$\partial_s \ln D_n(s,\theta_0,0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\partial_s\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\phi_j(e^{i\theta})\overline{\phi_j(e^{i\theta})}\right]f(e^{i\theta})d\theta. \tag{2.8}$$

Here we can use the Christoffel-Darboux formula (see e.g. [21, 8] for a proof of it):

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\phi_j(z)\overline{\phi_j}(z^{-1}) = -n\phi_n(z)\overline{\phi_n}(z^{-1}) + z\left(\overline{\phi_n}(z^{-1})\phi_n'(z) - \left(\overline{\phi_n}(z^{-1})\right)'\phi_n(z)\right).$$

Substituting this into (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_s \ln D_n(s,\theta_0,0) &= 2n\frac{\partial_s\chi_n}{\chi_n}\\ &-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\partial_s\left[e^{i\theta}\left(\overline{\phi_n}(e^{-i\theta})\phi_n'(e^{i\theta}) - \left(\overline{\phi_n}(z^{-1})\right)'\Big|_{z=e^{i\theta}}\phi_n(e^{i\theta})\right)\right]f(e^{i\theta})d\theta\\ &= 2n\frac{\partial_s\chi_n}{\chi_n} + I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$I_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta}\partial_s\left(\overline{\phi_n}(e^{-i\theta})\right)\phi_n'(e^{i\theta})f(e^{i\theta})d\theta, \tag{2.10}$$

$$I_2 = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta}\overline{\phi_n}(e^{-i\theta})\partial_s\left(\phi_n'(e^{i\theta})\right)f(e^{i\theta})d\theta, \tag{2.11}$$

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta}\partial_s\left(\left(\overline{\phi_n}(z^{-1})\right)'\Big|_{z=e^{i\theta}}\right)\phi_n(e^{i\theta})f(e^{i\theta})d\theta, \tag{2.12}$$

$$I_4 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta}\left(\overline{\phi_n}(z^{-1})\right)'\Big|_{z=e^{i\theta}}\partial_s\phi_n(e^{i\theta})f(e^{i\theta})d\theta. \tag{2.13}$$

From the orthogonality relation (2.1), we easily get

$$I_2 = I_3 = -n\frac{\partial_s\chi_n}{\chi_n}. \tag{2.14}$$

The computation of I_1 and I_4 is slightly more involved. Using (1.2), we have

$$I_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^{2\pi}\partial_s\left(\overline{\phi_n}(e^{-i\theta})\right)\frac{d}{d\theta}\left(\phi_n(e^{i\theta})\right)d\theta + \frac{1-s}{2\pi i}\int_{\theta_0}^{2\pi-\theta_0}\partial_s\left(\overline{\phi_n}(e^{-i\theta})\right)\frac{d}{d\theta}\left(\phi_n(e^{i\theta})\right)d\theta. \tag{2.15}$$

Integrating by parts and then using orthogonality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1-s}{2\pi i}\left[\partial_s\left(\overline{\phi_n}(e^{-i\theta})\right)\phi_n(e^{i\theta})\right]_{\theta_0}^{2\pi-\theta_0} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^{2\pi}\phi_n(e^{i\theta})\partial_s\frac{d}{d\theta}\left(\overline{\phi_n}(e^{-i\theta})\right)f(e^{i\theta})d\theta \\ &= \frac{1-s}{2\pi i}\left[\partial_s\left(\overline{\phi_n}(e^{-i\theta})\right)\phi_n(e^{i\theta})\right]_{\theta_0}^{2\pi-\theta_0} - n\frac{\partial_s\chi_n}{\chi_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

In the same way, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta}\left(\overline{\phi_n}(z^{-1})\right)'\Big|_{z=e^{i\theta}}\partial_s\phi_n(e^{i\theta})f(e^{i\theta})d\theta \\ &= -\frac{1-s}{2\pi i}\left[\overline{\phi_n}(e^{-i\theta})\partial_s\phi_n(e^{i\theta})\right]_{\theta_0}^{2\pi-\theta_0} - n\frac{\partial_s\chi_n}{\chi_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Summing up (2.14), (2.16), and (2.17), and using the fact that $\overline{\phi_n} = \phi_n$ if $W = 0$, we get the result. □

As a consequence of Proposition 2.1 and (2.5), we can express the right hand side of (2.7) in terms of $Y = Y^{(n)}$ given by (2.5):

Corollary 2.2 *We have*

$$\partial_s \ln D_n(s,\theta_0,0) = n\partial_s \ln Y_{12}(0) + \frac{2(1-s)}{\pi}\mathrm{Im}\left(\frac{\overline{Y_{11}(e^{i\theta_0})}}{\sqrt{Y_{12}(0)}}\partial_s\left(\frac{Y_{11}(e^{i\theta_0})}{\sqrt{Y_{12}(0)}}\right)\right). \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. By (2.5), we have

$$Y_{12}(0) = \chi_n^{-2}, \qquad Y_{11}(e^{i\theta_0}) = \chi_n^{-1}\phi_n(e^{i\theta_0}).$$

Substituting this into (2.7), we get (2.18). □

Integrating both sides from 0 to s_0 in (2.6) or (2.7), we obtain

$$\ln D_n(s_0,\theta_0,W) - \ln D_n(0,\theta_0,W) = \int_0^{s_0} \left[\partial_s \ln D_n(s,\theta_0,W)\right] ds. \tag{2.19}$$

In order to obtain asymptotics for $\ln D_n(s_0,\theta_0,W)$, we need large n asymptotics for the right-hand side of (2.6) and (2.7) uniformly for $0 \le s \le s_0$.

To obtain large n asymptotics for Y, we will use the following RH characterization [16]: $Y(z) = Y^{(n)}(z)$ is the unique 2×2 matrix-valued function which satisfies the following properties.

RH problem for Y

(a) $Y : \mathbb{C} \setminus S_1 \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) Y has the following jumps:

$$Y_+(z) = Y_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z^{-n} f(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{for } z \in S_1 \setminus \left\{ z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}, \overline{z_0} = e^{-i\theta_0} \right\},$$

where $Y_+(z)$ ($Y_-(z)$) denotes the limit as z is approached from the inside (outside) of the unit circle.

(c) $Y(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})\right) \begin{pmatrix} z^n & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-n} \end{pmatrix}$ as $z \to \infty$.

(d) As z tends to z_0 or z tends to $\overline{z_0}$, Y behaves as

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\ln|z-z_0|) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\ln|z-z_0|) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as } z \to z_0,$$

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\ln|z-\overline{z_0}|) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\ln|z-\overline{z_0}|) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as } z \to \overline{z_0}.$$

3 Equilibrium measures

It will be convenient to introduce a new parameter $x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$s = e^{-xn}, \tag{3.1}$$

such that f can be written as $f(e^{i\theta}) = e^{W(e^{i\theta})} e^{-nV(e^{i\theta})}$, where

$$V(e^{i\theta}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } e^{i\theta} \in \gamma, \\ x, & \text{for } e^{i\theta} \in S_1 \setminus \gamma. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

An important ingredient for the large n analysis of the orthogonal polynomials and the RH problem for Y is the following minimization problem: find the measure $\mu = \mu^{(x)}$ which minimizes

$$\iint \log|z-s|^{-1} d\mu(z) d\mu(s) + \int V(z) d\mu(z) \tag{3.3}$$

among all Borel probability measures on the unit circle S_1.

This measure, which is unique and absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, is called the equilibrium measure, is denoted $d\mu^{(x)} = u^{(x)}(e^{i\theta})d\theta$, and its support is denoted $J^{(x)}$. The equilibrium measure $\mu = \mu^{(x)}$ and its support $J = J^{(x)}$ are uniquely determined by the following Euler-Lagrange variational conditions [1, 20]: there exists a real constant $\ell = \ell^{(x)}$ such that

$$2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log|z - e^{i\theta}| d\mu(e^{i\theta}) - V(z) + \ell = 0, \qquad \text{for } z \in J, \tag{3.4}$$

$$2\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log|z - e^{i\theta}| d\mu(e^{i\theta}) - V(z) + \ell \le 0, \qquad \text{for } z \in S_1 \setminus J. \tag{3.5}$$

The support and density of the equilibrium measure can be computed explicitly.

Proposition 3.1 *(a) For $x = +\infty$, the support of the equilibrium measure and its density are given by*

$$J = J^{(\infty)} = \gamma, \qquad u(e^{i\theta}) = u^{(\infty)}(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{\frac{\cos\theta + 1}{\cos\theta - \cos\theta_0}}. \tag{3.6}$$

The constant $\ell = \ell^{(\infty)}$ in the variational conditions (3.4)-(3.5) is given by

$$\ell^{(\infty)} = -2\ln\sin\frac{\theta_0}{2}, \tag{3.7}$$

and the variational inequality (3.5) is strict for $z \in S_1 \setminus \gamma$.

(b) Let x_c be given by (1.7). For $x \ge x_c$, we have the same result as for $x = +\infty$:

$$J = \gamma\,, \qquad u(e^{i\theta}) = u^{(\infty)}(e^{i\theta}), \qquad \ell = \ell^{(\infty)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, the variational inequality (3.5) is strict for $z \in S_1 \setminus \gamma$ if $x \ne x_c$; if $x = x_c$, it is strict for $z \in S_1 \setminus (\gamma \cup \{-1\})$, and there is equality for $z = -1$.

(c) For $0 < x < x_c$, the support of μ consists of two disjoint arcs: we have

$$J = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [-\theta_0, \theta_0] \cup [\pi - \theta_1, \pi + \theta_1]\}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\theta_1 = \theta_1(x) \in (0, \pi - \theta_0)$ is the unique solution of

$$2\int_{[-\theta_0,\theta_0]\cup[\pi-\theta_1,\pi+\theta_1]} \log\left|\frac{1 + e^{i\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}}\right| u(e^{i\theta})d\theta = x, \tag{3.10}$$

and the density is given by

$$u(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{\frac{\cos\theta + \cos\theta_1}{\cos\theta - \cos\theta_0}}. \tag{3.11}$$

The constant ℓ is given by

$$\ell = -\int_0^1 \frac{1}{s}\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{s^2 + 2\cos(\theta_1)s + 1}{s^2 - 2\cos(\theta_0)s + 1}}\right) ds, \tag{3.12}$$

and the variational inequality (3.5) is strict for $z \in S_1 \setminus J$.

Remark 3.2 Although part (c) of the proposition is not needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1, we present it here for completeness and to support the heuristic arguments in Section 5.4, where we will discuss asymptotics for D_n if $x < x_c$.

Proof. Note first that the equation (3.10) has indeed a unique solution θ_1 for $x < x_c$, since the function

$$\theta_1 \mapsto 2\int_{[-\theta_0,\theta_0]\cup[\pi-\theta_1,\pi+\theta_1]} \log\left|\frac{1+e^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}}\right| u(e^{i\theta})d\theta$$

decreases as a function of $\theta_1 \in (0, \pi-\theta_0)$, and is bijective from $(0,\pi-\theta_0)$ to $(0,x_c)$.

The equilibrium measure μ is uniquely characterized by the conditions (3.4)-(3.5), which means that it is sufficient for us to show that the measure μ defined in cases (a), (b), and (c) satisfy these variational conditions. To do so, consider the function

$$f(z) = 2\int \log|z-e^{i\theta}| d\mu^{(x)}(e^{i\theta}). \tag{3.13}$$

If we define $\theta_1 > 0$ as the unique solution of (3.10) if $x < x_c$, and if we let $\theta_1 = 0$ for $x \geq x_c$, we have

$$f(e^{i\alpha}) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{[-\theta_0,\theta_0]\cup[\pi-\theta_1,\pi+\theta_1]} \log|e^{i\alpha}-e^{i\theta}| \sqrt{\frac{\cos\theta+\cos\theta_1}{\cos\theta-\cos\theta_0}}d\theta. \tag{3.14}$$

The derivative $\frac{d}{d\alpha}f(e^{i\alpha})$ can be written as a contour integral

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha}f(e^{i\alpha}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma \frac{1}{\xi}\frac{\xi+e^{i\alpha}}{\xi-e^{i\alpha}}\left(\frac{(\xi-z_1)(\xi-\overline{z_1})}{(\xi-z_0)(\xi-\overline{z_0})}\right)^{1/2} d\xi, \qquad z_1 = e^{i\theta_1}, \tag{3.15}$$

where the square root is analytic off J and tends to 1 as $\xi \to \infty$, and where the contour Σ consists of one (if $x \geq x_c$) or two (if $x < x_c$) counterclockwise oriented circles around the arc(s) of J. If $e^{i\alpha} \in S_1 \setminus J$, the contour has to be chosen sufficiently small such that $e^{i\alpha}$ lies in the exterior of Σ.

If $e^{i\alpha} \in J$, a residue calculation shows that $\frac{d}{d\alpha}f(e^{i\alpha}) = 0$. If $e^{i\alpha} \in S_1 \setminus J$ on the other hand, we have

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha}f(e^{i\alpha}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\cos\theta_1+\cos\alpha}{\cos\theta_0-\cos\alpha}}, & \text{if } \theta_0 < \alpha < \pi-\theta_1, \\ -\sqrt{\frac{\cos\theta_1+\cos\alpha}{\cos\theta_0-\cos\alpha}}, & \text{if } \pi+\theta_1 < \alpha < 2\pi-\theta_0. \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

It follows that $f(e^{i\alpha})$ is constant on $[-\theta_0,\theta_0]\cup[\pi-\theta_1,\pi+\theta_1]$, and that it achieves its maximum on $[\pi-\theta_1,\pi+\theta_1]$ (i.e. at π if $x \geq x_c$). We have

$$f(e^{i\alpha}) = f(1), \qquad \text{for } -\theta_0 \leq \alpha \leq \theta_0, \tag{3.17}$$

$$f(e^{i\alpha}) = f(-1), \qquad \text{for } \pi-\theta_1 \leq \alpha \leq \pi+\theta_1, \tag{3.18}$$

$$f(e^{i\alpha}) < f(-1), \qquad \text{for } \alpha \notin [\pi-\theta_1,\pi+\theta_1]. \tag{3.19}$$

If we show that

$$f(1) = -\ell,\ f(-1) < f(1)+x, \qquad \text{for } x > x_c, \tag{3.20}$$

$$f(1) = -\ell,\ f(-1) = f(1)+x, \qquad \text{for } x \leq x_c, \tag{3.21}$$

then (3.17)-(3.19) imply the Euler-Lagrange conditions (3.4)-(3.5).

For the case $x \geq x_c$, using (3.16), we obtain after a straightforward calculation,

$$f(-1) - f(1) = f(e^{i\pi}) - f(e^{i\theta_0}) = \int_{\theta_0}^{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1+\cos\theta}{\cos\theta_0 - \cos\theta}} d\theta = -2\ln\tan\frac{\theta_0}{4} = x_c \leq x,$$

which proves the inequality in (3.20), and the fact that there is equality at -1 if $x = x_c$. Moreover, using an other residue calculation, we get

$$f(1) = \int_0^1 f'(s)ds = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s}\left(1 - \frac{1+s}{\sqrt{s^2 - 2\cos(\theta_0)s + 1}}\right) ds = 2\ln\sin\frac{\theta_0}{2} = -\ell^{(\infty)}.$$

This proves the equality in (3.20). For $0 < x < x_c$, by (3.16),

$$f(-1) - f(1) = f(e^{i(\pi-\theta_1)}) - f(e^{i\theta_0}) = \int_{\theta_0}^{\pi-\theta_1} \sqrt{\frac{\cos\theta_1 + \cos\theta}{\cos\theta_0 - \cos\theta}} d\theta = x,$$

by definition of f and θ_1, and

$$f(1) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s}\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{s^2 + 2\cos(\theta_1)s + 1}{s^2 - 2\cos(\theta_0)s + 1}}\right) ds = -\ell.$$

This completes the proof. □

4 Riemann-Hilbert analysis for $x \geq x_c$

We will now perform an asymptotic analysis of the RH problem for Y as $n \to \infty$ with $x \geq x_c$. Our analysis uses the Deift/Zhou steepest descent method [7, 11, 12] and shows many similarities with the analysis done in [17] for $s = 0$ (or $x = +\infty$). An important difference is that we need to modify the Bessel parametrices around the points $z_0, \overline{z_0}$, see Section 4.4 below.

4.1 First transformation $Y \to T$

We define g by

$$g(z) = \int_{-\theta_0}^{\theta_0} \log(z - e^{i\theta}) d\mu^{(\infty)}(e^{i\theta}). \tag{4.1}$$

Below we write $\mu = \mu^{(\infty)}$, $u = u^{(\infty)}$, and $\ell = \ell^{(\infty)}$. We have that e^g is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma$, and by the Euler-Lagrange variational conditions (3.4)-(3.5), we also have

$$g_+(z) + g_-(z) - \log(z) - i\pi + \ell = 0, \qquad \text{for } z \in \gamma \setminus \{z_0, \overline{z_0}\}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$2g(z) - \log(z) - i\pi + \ell < 0, \qquad \text{for } z \in S_1 \setminus \gamma, \tag{4.3}$$

$$g'_+(z) - g'_-(z) = -\frac{2\pi}{z} u(z), \qquad \text{for } z \in \gamma \setminus \{z_0, \overline{z_0}\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Define

$$T(z) = e^{\frac{n\pi i}{2}\sigma_3} e^{\frac{n\ell}{2}\sigma_3} Y(z) e^{-ng(z)\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{n\ell}{2}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{n\pi i}{2}\sigma_3}. \tag{4.5}$$

Then it is straightforward to check that T satisfies the following conditions.

RH problem for T

(a) $T : \mathbb{C} \setminus S_1 \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) T satisfies the jump relation

$$T_+(z) = T_-(z)J_T(z), \qquad \text{on } S_1 \setminus \{z_0, \overline{z_0}\}, \tag{4.6}$$

with

$$J_T(z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n(g_+(z)-g_-(z))} & (-1)^n z^{-n} e^{-nV(z)} e^{n\ell} e^{n(g_+(z)+g_-(z))} e^{W(z)} \\ 0 & e^{n(g_+(z)-g_-(z))} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(c) $T(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$ as $z \to \infty$.

(d) As $z \to z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ or $z \to \overline{z_0} = e^{-i\theta_0}$, we have

$$T(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\ln|z - z_0|) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\ln|z - z_0|) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \to z_0,$$

$$T(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\ln|z - \overline{z_0}|) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\ln|z - \overline{z_0}|) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } z \to \overline{z_0}.$$

We define

$$\phi(z) = 2g(z) - \log z - i\pi + \ell, \tag{4.7}$$

so that e^ϕ is analytic in a neighborhood of γ with the exception of γ itself. For $z \in \gamma \setminus \{z_0, \overline{z_0}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_+(z) &= (g_+(z) + g_-(z) - \log z - i\pi + \ell) + (g_+(z) - g_-(z)) && (4.8) \\ &= g_+(z) - g_-(z), && (4.9) \end{aligned}$$

by (4.2). But $g_+(z_0) = g_-(z_0)$, and integrating (4.4) between z_0 and z, and then substituting it into (4.8), we obtain

$$\phi_+(z) = -2\pi \int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{u(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi. \tag{4.10}$$

Analytically continuing the left and right hand side, we obtain

$$\phi(z) = \int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{\xi + 1}{((\xi - z_0)(\xi - \overline{z_0}))^{1/2}} \frac{d\xi}{\xi}, \tag{4.11}$$

where the branch cut of the square root is chosen on γ. It is now convenient to express J_T in terms of ϕ:

$$J_T(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n\phi_+(z)} & e^{W(z)} \\ 0 & e^{-n\phi_-(z)} \end{pmatrix}, & z \in \gamma \setminus \{z_0, \overline{z_0}\}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-nx} e^{n\phi(z)} e^{W(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in S_1 \setminus \gamma. \end{cases}$$



Figure 2: The jump contour for S.

4.2 Second transformation $T \to S$

We can factorize J_T on γ as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{-n\phi_+(z)} & e^{W(z)} \\ 0 & e^{-n\phi_-(z)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-n\phi_-(z)}e^{-W(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{W(z)} \\ -e^{-W(z)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-n\phi_+(z)}e^{-W(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.12)$$

Using this factorization, we can split the jump on γ into three different jumps on a lens-shaped oriented contour, see Figure 2. It is important that the jump contour lies in the region where W is analytic. Denote by γ_+ and γ_- the lenses around γ on the $|z| < 1$ side and the $|z| > 1$ side respectively. Define

$$S(z) = \begin{cases} T(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-n\phi(z)}e^{-W(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & |z| < 1, z \text{ inside the lenses around } \gamma, \\ T(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-n\phi(z)}e^{-W(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & |z| > 1, z \text{ inside the lenses around } \gamma, \\ T(z), & z \text{ outside the lenses.} \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

Then S solves the following RH problem.

RH problem for S

(a) $S : \mathbb{C} \setminus (S_1 \cup \gamma_- \cup \gamma_+) \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) S satisfies the jump relations

$$S_+(z) = S_-(z)J_S(z), \qquad \text{for } z \in S_1 \cup \gamma_- \cup \gamma_+, \quad (4.14)$$

where S_+ (S_-) denotes the boundary value from the left (right) of the contour,

and where

$$J_S(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-nx}e^{n\phi(z)}e^{W(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } z \in S_1 \setminus \gamma, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-W(z)} \\ -e^{-W(z)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } z \in \gamma \setminus \{z_0, \overline{z_0}\}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-n\phi(z)}e^{-W(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } z \in \gamma_+ \cup \gamma_-. \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

(c) $S(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$ as $z \to \infty$.

(d) As $z \to z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ or $z \to \overline{z_0} = e^{-i\theta_0}$, we have

$$S(z) = \mathcal{O}(\ln|z - z_0|), \qquad S(z) = \mathcal{O}(\ln|z - \overline{z_0}|). \tag{4.16}$$

By (4.7) and (4.3), we observe that the jump matrices for S converge to the identity matrix on $S_1 \setminus \gamma$ as $n \to \infty$, except at -1 if $x = x_c$. On $\gamma_+ \cup \gamma_-$, one shows that $\operatorname{Re}\phi(z) > 0$ and consequently the jump matrices for S also converge to the identity matrix on $\gamma_+ \cup \gamma_-$. The convergence of the jump matrices is point-wise in z and breaks down as z approaches $z_0, \overline{z_0}$, and also as z approaches -1 if $x = x_c$. Therefore, we will need to construct approximations to S for large n in different regions of the complex plane: local parametrices will be constructed in small disks $D(z_0, r), D(\overline{z_0}, r), D(-1, r)$ surrounding $z_0, \overline{z_0}, -1$, and a global parametrix will be constructed in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\overline{D(z_0, r) \cup D(\overline{z_0}, r) \cup D(-1, r)})$.

4.3 Global parametrix

Ignoring the exponentially small jumps for S and small neighborhoods of $z_0, \overline{z_0}$, -1, we are led to the following RH problem:

RH problem for $P^{(\infty)}$

(a) $P^{(\infty)} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $P^{(\infty)}$ has the jump

$$P_+^{(\infty)}(z) = P_-^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{W(z)} \\ -e^{-W(z)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z \in \gamma \setminus \{z_0, \overline{z_0}\}. \tag{4.17}$$

(c) $P^{(\infty)}(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$ as $z \to \infty$.

(d) As $z \to z_0$ or $z \to \overline{z_0}$, we have

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z - z_0|^{-1/4}), \qquad P^{(\infty)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z - \overline{z_0}|^{-1/4}). \tag{4.18}$$

The solution of this RHP is explicitly given by

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = e^{h_\infty \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\left(\beta(z) + \beta^{-1}(z)\right) & -\frac{1}{2i}\left(\beta(z) - \beta^{-1}(z)\right) \\ \frac{1}{2i}\left(\beta(z) - \beta^{-1}(z)\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\beta(z) + \beta^{-1}(z)\right) \end{pmatrix} e^{-h(z)\sigma_3}, \tag{4.19}$$



Figure 3: The jump contour for P.

where $\beta(z) = \left(\frac{z-\overline{z_0}}{z-z_0}\right)^{1/4}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma$ and $\beta(z) \to 1$ as $z \to \infty$, where $h_\infty = \lim_{z\to\infty} h(z)$, and

$$h(z) = \frac{((z-z_0)(z-\overline{z_0}))^{1/2}}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{W(\xi)}{((\xi-z_0)(\xi-\overline{z_0}))_+^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\xi - z} d\xi, \tag{4.20}$$

with $((z-z_0)(z-\overline{z_0}))^{1/2}$ analytic off γ. Note that h is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma$, bounded near z_0, $\overline{z_0}$, and ∞, and that it satisfies the jump relation

$$h_+(z) + h_-(z) = W(z), \qquad z \in \gamma \setminus \{z_0, \overline{z_0}\}.$$

Using these properties, it is straightforward to verify that $P^{(\infty)}$ solves the above RH problem.

4.4 Local parametrix near z_0

We want to construct a function P defined in $D(z_0, r)$ satisfying the following RH conditions.

RH problem for P

(a) $P : D(z_0, r) \setminus (S_1 \cup \gamma_+ \cup \gamma_-) \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) For z on the contour shown in Figure 3, P satisfies the jump conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
P_+(z) &= P_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{W(z)} \\ -e^{-W(z)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, && \text{on } \gamma \setminus \{z_0, \overline{z_0}\}, \\
P_+(z) &= P_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{n(\phi(z)-x)} e^{W(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, && \text{on } \gamma^c, \\
P_+(z) &= P_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-n\phi(z)} e^{-W(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, && \text{on } \gamma_- \cup \gamma_+.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

(c) For $z \in \partial D(z_0, r)$, we have

$$P(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right) P^{(\infty)}(z), \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.22}$$

(d) As z tend to z_0, the behaviour of P is

$$P(z) = \mathcal{O}(\ln|z - z_0|). \tag{4.23}$$

4.4.1 Bessel model RH problem

We will construct P in terms of a model RH problem for which the solution is constructed using Bessel functions. Consider the following model RH problem:

RH problem for Ψ

(a) $\Psi : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_\Psi \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic, where $\Sigma_\Psi = \mathbb{R}^- \cup \{xe^{\pm\frac{2\pi}{3}i} : x \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$, with the orientation from ∞ towards 0 for the three half-lines.

(b) Ψ satisfies the jump conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_+(\zeta) &= \Psi_-(\zeta)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, && \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^-, \\
\Psi_+(\zeta) &= \Psi_-(\zeta)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, && \zeta \in \{xe^{\frac{2\pi}{3}i} : x \in \mathbb{R}^+\}, \\
\Psi_+(\zeta) &= \Psi_-(\zeta)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, && \zeta \in \{xe^{-\frac{2\pi}{3}i} : x \in \mathbb{R}^+\}.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) $\Psi(\zeta) = \left(2\pi\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix}\left(I + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}})\right)e^{2\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_3}, \quad \text{as } \zeta \to \infty,\ \zeta \notin \Sigma_\Psi.$

(d) As ζ tend to 0, the behaviour of $\Psi(\zeta)$ is

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}(\ln|\zeta|). \tag{4.24}$$

This model RH problem is well-known and it can be solved explicitly using Bessel functions, see e.g. [19, 17]. The unique solution to this RH problem is given by

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \begin{cases}
\begin{pmatrix} I_0(2\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) & \frac{i}{\pi}K_0(2\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ 2\pi i\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}I_0'(2\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) & -2\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}K_0'(2\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{pmatrix}, & |\arg\zeta| < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\
\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}H_0^{(1)}(2(-\zeta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) & \frac{1}{2}H_0^{(2)}(2(-\zeta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \pi\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(H_0^{(1)}\right)'(2(-\zeta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) & \pi\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(H_0^{(2)}\right)'(2(-\zeta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{pmatrix}, & \frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg\zeta < \pi, \\
\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}H_0^{(2)}(2(-\zeta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) & -\frac{1}{2}H_0^{(1)}(2(-\zeta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ -\pi\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(H_0^{(2)}\right)'(2(-\zeta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) & \pi\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(H_0^{(1)}\right)'(2(-\zeta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{pmatrix}, & -\pi < \arg\zeta < -\frac{2\pi}{3},
\end{cases} \tag{4.25}$$

where $H_0^{(1)}$ and $H_0^{(2)}$ are the Hankel functions of the first and second kind, and I_0 and K_0 are the modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind.

4.4.2 Modification of the model RH problem

We will use a conformal map which maps the curves $\gamma_-, \gamma, \gamma_+$ in the vicinity of z_0 to (part of) the jump contour for Ψ. This is similar to the construction in [17], which corresponds to the case $s = 0$. If $s = 0$, P has no jump on γ_c (see Figure 3), and the



Figure 4: The jump contour for $\widehat{\Psi}$.

model RH problem fits perfectly to construct the local parametrix P. In our situation however, P does have a jump on γ_c, and for this reason we need to modify the model RH problem. A different but similar construction was done in [6].

Define $\widehat{\Psi}$ by

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\zeta) = (I + A(\zeta))\,\Psi(\zeta), \tag{4.26}$$

where A is given by

$$A(\zeta) = e^{-nx} F(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\ln(-\zeta) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} F^{-1}(z), \tag{4.27}$$

with F defined by

$$F(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \Psi(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\ln\zeta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & |\arg\zeta| < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \Psi(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\ln\zeta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg\zeta < \pi, \\ \Psi(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\ln\zeta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & -\pi < \arg\zeta < -\frac{2\pi}{3}, \end{cases} \tag{4.28}$$

where in both (4.27) and (4.28) ln has its branch cut on $\mathbb{R}^-$ with imaginary part between $-\pi$ and π. It is easy to check that F is an entire function. $\widehat{\Psi}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\widehat{\Psi}}$, with $\Sigma_{\widehat{\Psi}}$ as shown in Figure 4. On $\Sigma_{\widehat{\Psi}}$, it has the jump relations

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Psi}_+(\zeta) &= \widehat{\Psi}_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, && \text{on } \mathbb{R}^-, \\ \widehat{\Psi}_+(\zeta) &= \widehat{\Psi}_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-nx} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, && \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \widehat{\Psi}_+(\zeta) &= \widehat{\Psi}_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, && \text{on } \left\{ x e^{\frac{2\pi}{3} i} : x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}, \\ \widehat{\Psi}_+(\zeta) &= \widehat{\Psi}_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, && \text{on } \left\{ x e^{-\frac{2\pi}{3} i} : x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

4.4.3 Construction of the local parametrix

We construct P as follows,

$$P(z) = E(z)\widehat{\Psi}(n^2\zeta(z))e^{-\frac{n}{2}\phi(z)\sigma_3}e^{-\frac{1}{2}W(z)\sigma_3}, \tag{4.30}$$

where E is an analytic function in $D(z_0, r)$, and where $\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{16}\phi(z)^2$. By (4.11), we have that $\zeta(z)$ is a conformal map near z_0, and that $\zeta(z_0) = 0$. Moreover, ζ maps $\gamma \cap D(z_0, r)$ to part of the real line. We now fix the lens-shaped contours γ_- and γ_+ by requiring that $\zeta(\gamma_- \cup \gamma_+) \subset \Sigma_{\widehat{\Psi}}$.

For any analytic function E, we have that P defined in (4.30) satisfies conditions (a), (b), and (d) of the RH problem for P. Indeed, P is analytic in $D(z_0, r)\setminus(\gamma\cup\gamma_+\cup\gamma_-\cup\gamma^c)$ by construction, and by (4.30) and (4.29), it is straightforward to verify that the jump condition (4.21) holds. The logarithmic behavior (4.23) of P near z_0 follows from the logarithmic behavior (4.24) together with the definition (4.26)-(4.27) of $\widehat{\Psi}$. If we define $E(z)$ by

$$E(z) = P^{(\infty)}(z)e^{\frac{1}{2}W(z)\sigma_3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1 & -i\\ -i & 1\end{pmatrix}\left(\frac{1}{2}n\pi\phi(z)\right)^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}},$$

we have in addition the matching condition (4.22) for P. Using the jump relation for $P^{(\infty)}$, it is easily verified that E is analytic near z_0. This completes the construction of the local parametrix near z_0.

4.5 Local parametrix near $\overline{z_0}$

Once the local parametrix near z_0 constructed, the local parametrix near $\overline{z_0}$ is easy to construct. Define for $z \in D(\overline{z_0}, r)$, $P(z) = \overline{P(\overline{z})}$, where $\overline{P(\overline{z})}$ refers to the local parametrix constructed near z_0. Then, P satisfies the following RH conditions.

RH problem for P

(a) $P : D(\overline{z_0}, r) \setminus (S_1 \cup \gamma_+ \cup \gamma_-) \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) For $z \in D(\overline{z_0}, r)$ and z on the jump contour, P satisfies the jump conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
P_+(z) &= P_-(z)\begin{pmatrix}0 & e^{W(z)}\\ -e^{-W(z)} & 0\end{pmatrix}, && \text{on } \gamma \setminus \{z_0, \overline{z_0}\},\\
P_+(z) &= P_-(z)\begin{pmatrix}1 & e^{n(\phi(z)-x)}e^{W(z)}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}, && \text{on } \gamma^c,\\
P_+(z) &= P_-(z)\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ e^{-n\phi(z)}e^{-W(z)} & 1\end{pmatrix}, && \text{on } \gamma_- \cup \gamma_+.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) For $z \in \partial D(\overline{z_0}, r)$, we have

$$P(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right)P^{(\infty)}(z), \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.31}$$

(d) As z tend to $\overline{z_0}$, the behaviour of P is

$$P(z) = \mathcal{O}(\ln|z - \overline{z_0}|).$$

4.6 Local parametrix near -1

For $x > x_c + \delta$, the jump matrix for S converges exponentially fast to the identity matrix as $n \to \infty$ near -1. However, as x approaches x_c, the convergence becomes slower, and for $x = x_c$, the jump matrix for S does not converge to I any longer. Therefore, we need to construct a local parametrix near -1. This construction can be done for any $x \geq x_c$ but is only necessary when x is close to x_c. The local parametrix should satisfy the following conditions.

RH problem for P

(a) $P : D(-1,r) \setminus S_1 \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) P has the jump

$$P_+(z) = P_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{n(\phi(z)-x)} e^{W(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z \in S_1 \cap D(-1,r). \tag{4.32}$$

(c) For $z \in \partial D(-1,r)$, we have

$$P(z) = (I + o(1)) P^{(\infty)}(z), \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.33}$$

The solution of this RHP is given by

$$P(z) = P^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tilde{h}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.34}$$

with $\tilde{h}(z) = \dfrac{1}{2\pi i} \displaystyle\int_{S_1 \cap D(-1,r)} \frac{e^{n(\phi(s)-x)} e^{W(s)}}{s - z} ds$. Using the fact that $\phi(s) - x_c$ has a double zero at $s = 1$, it is straightforward to verify that

$$\tilde{h}(z) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2} e^{n(x_c - x)}), \qquad \text{for } z \in \partial D(-1,r), \text{ as } n \to \infty, \tag{4.35}$$

and this implies the matching condition (4.33).

Note that we use the same notation P for the different local parametrices defined in $D(z_0, r)$, $D(\overline{z_0, r})$, and $D(-1, r)$.

4.7 Final transformation $S \mapsto R$

Define

$$R(z) = \begin{cases} S(z) P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}, & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\overline{D(z_0, r)} \cup \overline{D(\overline{z_0}, r)} \cup \overline{D(-1, r)}), \\ S(z) P(z)^{-1}, & z \in D(z_0, r) \cup D(\overline{z_0}, r) \cup D(-1, r). \end{cases} \tag{4.36}$$

P was constructed in such a way that it has exactly the same jump relations as S in $D(z_0, r) \cup D(\overline{z_0}, r) \cup D(-1, r)$, and as a consequence R has no jumps at all inside those disks. Moreover, from the local behaviour of S and P near z_0, $\overline{z_0}$ and -1, it follows that R is analytic at these three points. We have the following RH problem for R:



Figure 5: The jump contour for R.

RH problem for R

(a) $R : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_R \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic, with Σ_R as in Figure 5.

(b) R satisfies the jump conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} R_+(z) &= R_-(z)\left(I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right), && \text{for } z \in \partial D(z_0, r) \cup \partial D(\overline{z_0}, r), \\ R_+(z) &= R_-(z)\left(I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{n(x_c - x)})\right), && \text{for } z \in \partial D(-1, r), \\ R_+(z) &= R_-(z)(I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-cn})), c > 0 && \text{for } z \text{ elsewhere on } \Sigma_R. \end{aligned}$$

(c) $R(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$ as $z \to \infty$.

As $n \to \infty$ with $s \leq e^{-x_c n}$, it follows from the standard theory for small-norm RH problems that

$$R(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{n(x_c - x)}) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \qquad R'(z) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{n(x_c - x)}) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \tag{4.37}$$

uniformly for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_R$. By a more detailed analysis of Cauchy operators associated to the RH problem for R, one obtains in addition that

$$\partial_x R(z) = \mathcal{O}(n^{1/2} e^{n(x_c - x)}). \tag{4.38}$$

The latter estimate is not really needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1, but will be needed in our alternative proof if $W = 0$, see Section 5.2. Since $s = e^{-xn}$, it follows that

$$\partial_s R(z) = -\frac{e^{xn}}{n} \partial_x R(z) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2} e^{n x_c}). \tag{4.39}$$

5 Asymptotics for $D_n(s, \theta_0, W)$

We will now complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 using the results from the previous sections. We first do this for general analytic W in the case where $\epsilon < \theta_0 < \pi - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Afterwards we will explain how the proof can be somewhat simplified if $W = 0$, and we will show how it can be extended to the case where θ_0 approaches π at a sufficiently slow rate.

5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\epsilon < \theta_0 < \pi - \epsilon$

By inverting the transformations $Y \mapsto T \mapsto S \mapsto R$, we obtain an expression for R in terms of Y, involving the parametrices P and $P^{(\infty)}$. For $z \in \gamma^c \cap (D(z_0, r) \cup D(\overline{z_0}, r) \cup D(-1, r))$, we have

$$Y_\pm(z) = e^{-\frac{n\pi i}{2}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{n\ell}{2}\sigma_3} R(z) P_\pm(z) e^{ng(z)\sigma_3} e^{\frac{n\ell}{2}\sigma_3} e^{\frac{n\pi i}{2}\sigma_3}, \tag{5.1}$$

and for $z \in \gamma^c \setminus (D(z_0, r) \cup D(\overline{z_0}, r) \cup D(-1, r))$,

$$Y_\pm(z) = e^{-\frac{n\pi i}{2}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{n\ell}{2}\sigma_3} R_\pm(z) P^{(\infty)}(z) e^{ng(z)\sigma_3} e^{\frac{n\ell}{2}\sigma_3} e^{\frac{n\pi i}{2}\sigma_3}. \tag{5.2}$$

It follows that

$$\left[Y^{-1}(z)Y'(z)\right]_{21} = \begin{cases} (-1)^n e^{2ng(z)} e^{n\ell} A_1(z), & z \in \gamma^c \cap (D(z_0, r) \cup D(\overline{z_0}, r) \cup D(-1, r)), \\ (-1)^n e^{2ng(z)} e^{n\ell} A_2(z), & z \in \gamma^c \setminus (D(z_0, r) \cup D(\overline{z_0}, r) \cup D(-1, r)), \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

where

$$A_1(z) = \left[P^{-1}(z) R^{-1}(z) R'(z) P(z) + P^{-1}(z) P'(z)\right]_{21}, \tag{5.4}$$

$$A_2(z) = \left[{P^{(\infty)}}^{-1}(z) R^{-1}(z) R'(z) P^{(\infty)}(z) + {P^{(\infty)}}^{-1}(z) {P^{(\infty)}}'(z)\right]_{21}. \tag{5.5}$$

Note that the boundary values of $A_1(z)$ and $A_2(z)$ as z is approached from the inside and the outside of the unit circle are the same. For $z \in D(-1, r)$, the local parametrix P is given by (4.34), and one verifies that the formulas for A_1 and A_2 coincide in this case.

Substituting (5.3) in the differential identity (2.6), we find

$$\partial_s \ln D_n(s, \theta_0, W) = I_1 + I_2, \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_1^c} z^{-n} e^{2ng(z)} e^{n\ell} A_1(z) e^{W(z)} dz,$$
$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_2^c} z^{-n} e^{2ng(z)} e^{n\ell} A_2(z) e^{W(z)} dz,$$

with $\gamma_1^c = \gamma^c \cap (D(z_0, r) \cup D(\overline{z_0}, r))$ and $\gamma_2^c = \gamma^c \setminus (D(z_0, r) \cup D(\overline{z_0}, r))$.

Now we need to know how I_1 and I_2 behave for large n and $s \leq e^{-x_c n}$. For A_2, we note that $P^{(\infty)}$ does not depend on n, and that R and R' are uniformly bounded by (4.37). This implies that $A_2(z)$ is uniformly bounded on γ_2^c for large n. For A_1, we need to take a closer look at the construction of P near z_0 and $\overline{z_0}$, but it is straightforward to show that $P(z) = \mathcal{O}(n)$, $P^{-1}(z) = \mathcal{O}(n)$, and $P'(z) = \mathcal{O}(n^2)$ for $z \in \gamma_1^c$. We get

$$|I_1| = \mathcal{O}\left(n^3 \int_{[\theta_0, \theta_0 + r] \cup [2\pi - \theta_0 - r, 2\pi - \theta_0]} \left| e^{2ng(e^{i\alpha}) + n\ell} \right| d\alpha\right),$$
$$|I_2| = \mathcal{O}\left(\int_{\theta_0 + r}^{2\pi - \theta_0 - r} \left| e^{2ng(e^{i\alpha}) + n\ell} \right| d\alpha\right),$$

as $n \to \infty$ with $s \leq e^{-x_c n}$. The function $2g(z) + \ell - x_c$ is always negative on γ^c except that it has a zero of order two at $z = -1$. Therefore we obtain after a straightforward analysis that

$$|I_1| = \mathcal{O}\left(e^{n(x_c - C)}\right), \qquad C > 0, \tag{5.7}$$

$$|I_2| = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2} e^{n x_c}), \tag{5.8}$$

as $n \to \infty$, $s \leq e^{-x_c n}$.

If we integrate (5.6) from 0 up to $s = e^{-xn} \leq e^{-x_c n}$, we finally obtain the desired estimate

$$\ln D_n(s, \theta_0, W) - \ln D_n(0, \theta_0, W) = \int_0^s (I_1 + I_2) ds' = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2} e^{-n(x - x_c)}).$$

5.2 Alternative proof of Theorem 1.1 if $W = 0$, $s = o(n^{1/2} e^{-n x_c})$

In the case where $W = 0$, there is an alternative approach to prove Theorem 1.1: we can use the differential identity (2.18) instead of (2.6). This does not make the proof much shorter, but it has the advantage that no integrals have to be estimated, and that we only need information about Y at the points 0 and z_0, instead of on the entire curve γ_c. The objects in the differential identity can be computed more explicitly in this case by the following result.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $W = 0$. As $n \to \infty$ with $x > x_c$, we have*

$$Y_{12}(0) = e^{-n\ell} \left[\sin \frac{\theta_0}{2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2})\right], \tag{5.9}$$

$$\partial_s \ln Y_{12}(0) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2} e^{n x_c}), \tag{5.10}$$

$$Y_{11}(z_0) = e^{-\frac{n\ell}{2}} \mathcal{O}(n^{1/2}). \tag{5.11}$$

Proof. Using (5.2) and the expressions $g(0) = \pi i$ and $P_{12}^{(\infty)}(0) = \sin \frac{\theta_0}{2}$ (if $W = 0$), we get the result for $Y_{12}(0)$.

For $\partial_s \ln Y_{12}(0)$, we have

$$\partial_s \ln Y_{12}(0) = \frac{\partial_s \left(R_{11}(0) P_{12}^{(\infty)}(0) + R_{12}(0) P_{22}^{(\infty)}(0)\right)}{R_{11}(0) P_{12}^{(\infty)}(0) + R_{12}(0) P_{22}^{(\infty)}(0)}. \tag{5.12}$$

By (4.39), this yields (5.10).

For the rest of this proof, we assume that $|z| < 1$ and that z lies outside of the lenses and in $D(z_0, r)$. Then we have by (5.1),

$$Y_{11}(z) = e^{n g(z)} \left[R_{11}(z) P_{11}(z) + R_{12}(z) P_{21}(z)\right]. \tag{5.13}$$

By (4.1) and (4.2), we can show that

$$g(z_0) = -\frac{\ell}{2} + i \frac{\theta_0 + \pi}{2}.$$

On the other hand, by (4.25), as $z \to z_0$ for fixed n, we have

$$\Psi_{11}(n^2 \zeta(z)) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(z - z_0), \quad \Psi_{21}(n^2 \zeta(z)) = \mathcal{O}(z - z_0).$$

This implies, by (4.26), that

$$P_{j1}(z_0) = E_{j1}(z_0)(1+\mathcal{O}(e^{-nx}))e^{-\frac{n}{2}\phi(z_0)}, \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

Since $\phi(z_0)=0$, we have $P_{j1}(z_0)=\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$, $j=1,2$, and

$$Y_{11}(z_0) = e^{n\left(-\frac{\ell}{2}+i\frac{\theta_0+\pi}{2}\right)}\left(P_{11}(z_0)+\mathcal{O}\left(1\right)\right) = e^{-\frac{n\ell}{2}}\mathcal{O}(n^{1/2}),$$

as $n\to\infty$. □

By Proposition 5.1 and (2.18), we have

$$n\partial_s \ln Y_{12}(0) = \mathcal{O}(n^{1/2}e^{nx_c}), \tag{5.14}$$

$$\frac{2(1-s)}{\pi}\mathrm{Im}\left(\frac{\overline{Y_{11}(e^{i\theta_0})}}{\sqrt{Y_{12}(0)}}\partial_s\left(\frac{Y_{11}(e^{i\theta_0})}{\sqrt{Y_{12}(0)}}\right)\right) = \mathcal{O}(n), \tag{5.15}$$

as $n\to\infty$. Therefore, using (2.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\ln D_n(s,\theta_0,0) &= \ln D_n(0,\theta_0,0) + \int_0^s \mathcal{O}(n^{1/2}e^{nx_c})ds' \\ &= \ln D_n(0,\theta_0,0) + \mathcal{O}(n^{1/2}e^{-n(x-x_c)}),\end{aligned}$$

and we rederive Theorem 1.1 with a slightly worse error term which is only small if $s = o(n^{1/2}e^{-nx_c})$.

5.3 Extension to the case where θ_0 depends on n

The RH analysis done in the previous section is valid as $n\to\infty$ with $\epsilon<\theta_0<\pi-\epsilon$ and $\epsilon>0$ independent of n. If $\theta_0=\theta_0(n)$ depends on n and approaches π as $n\to\infty$, the arc γ grows and the *gap* $(e^{i\theta_0}, e^{i(2\pi-\theta_0)})$ closes. Similarly to [17], we will show here that the RH analysis carried out before remains valid as long as $n(\pi-\theta_0)$ is large.

A first problem in the RH analysis is that we need to allow the radius $r=r(n)$ of the disks $D(z_0,r)$, $D(-1,r)$, and $D(\overline{z_0},r)$ to depend on n (or on θ_0) in order to prevent the disks to overlap. For instance, we can keep the disks separated from each other if we let $r(\theta_0)=\delta(\pi-\theta_0)$, with $\delta>0$ a sufficiently small but fixed number. Then the local parametrices near $z_0, \overline{z_0}, -1$ can be constructed in exactly the same way as before. However, in order to make the asymptotic analysis work, it is crucial that the jump matrix for R tend to I uniformly as $n\to\infty$ on the n-dependent jump contour. Recall that the jump matrix for R is given by

$$J_R(z) = \begin{cases} P(z)P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}, & z\in \partial D(z_0,r)\cup\partial D(\overline{z_0},r)\cup\partial D(-1,r), \\ P^{(\infty)}(z)J_S(z)P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}, & z\in\Sigma_R\setminus\left(\partial D(z_0,r)\cup\partial D(\overline{z_0},r)\cup\partial D(-1,r)\right), \end{cases} \tag{5.16}$$

where J_S denotes the jump matrix for S given in (4.15).

The first thing to notice is that $h(z)$, defined in (4.20), and $\beta(z)$, defined right before (4.20), are uniformly bounded on the jump contour Σ_R. This implies that $P^{(\infty)}(z)$ is uniformly bounded for $z\in\Sigma_R$. Next, by (4.11), we have

$$|\phi(z)| \geq C\sin(\pi-\theta_0), \qquad z\in\Sigma_R, \tag{5.17}$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of z and θ_0. By (4.15), this already implies that the jump matrix J_R is $I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}(\pi - \theta_0)^{-1})$ on Σ_R, except on the three circles around $z_0, \overline{z_0}$, and -1. On $\partial D(z_0, r)$ and $\partial D(\overline{z_0}, r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_R(z) &= P(z)P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1} = P^{(\infty)}(z)\left(I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}\phi(z)^{-1})\right)P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1} && (5.18)\\ &= I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}(\pi - \theta)^{-1}). && (5.19)\end{aligned}$$

Finally, on $\partial D(-1, r)$, by (4.34), we have

$$J_R(z) = P(z)P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1} = I + \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{h}(z)) = I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2}e^{-n(x-x_c)}). \tag{5.20}$$

It follows from these considerations that

$$J_R(z) - I = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}(\pi - \theta_0)^{-1}) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2}e^{-n(x-x_c)}), \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

with θ_0 approaching π sufficiently slowly such that $n(\pi - \theta_0) \to +\infty$. By the small-norm theory for RH problems, it follows that

$$R(z) = I + \mathcal{O}\left(n^{-1}(\pi - \theta_0)^{-1}\right) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2}e^{-n(x-x_c)}), \tag{5.21}$$

and

$$R'(z) = \mathcal{O}\left(n^{-1}(\pi - \theta_0)^{-1}\right) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2}e^{-n(x-x_c)}), \tag{5.22}$$

as $n \to \infty$, $n(\pi - \theta_0) \to +\infty$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 can now be completed in the same way as before, where the estimate (5.7) remains valid, (5.8) becomes

$$|I_2| = \mathcal{O}((\pi - \theta_0)^{1/2}n^{-1/2}e^{nx_c}),$$

and we obtain the error term (1.10).

5.4 Heuristic discussion of the asymptotics for $s > e^{-x_c n}$

As $n \to \infty$ with $s = e^{-xn} > e^{-(x_c - \delta)n}$, $\delta > 0$, it is expected that the behavior of the Toeplitz determinants $D_n(s, \theta_0, W)$ is very different from the behavior described in Theorem 1.1. As shown in Proposition 3.1, the equilibrium measure is then supported on two disjoint arcs. In the RH analysis, that means that the RH problem for the global parametrix $P^{(\infty)}$ will become more involved. It is well understood that $P^{(\infty)}$ can then be constructed using elliptic θ-functions, see e.g. [5, 11, 12]. For this reason and because of the analogy with the Fredholm determinants of the sine kernel discussed in Section 1, we expect that the asymptotic behavior of the Toeplitz determinants will also involve elliptic θ-functions, and will be oscillatory. A transition between the Widom asymptotics (1.3) and the Fisher-Hartwig asymptotics (1.6) should become visible here. A rigorous analysis is delicate, and we plan to come back to this in a future publication.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Igor Krasovsky for useful discussions and for sharing an early version of [6], and to Alexander Bufetov for useful discussions. They were supported by the Belgian Interuniversity Attraction Pole P07/18 and by F.R.S.-F.N.R.S. TC was also supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007/2013)/ ERC Grant Agreement n. 307074.

References

[1] J. Baik, P. Deift, and K. Johansson, On the distribution of the length of the longest increasing subsequence of random permutations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1999), 1119–1178.

[2] E. Basor, Asymptotic formulas for Toeplitz determinants, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **239** (1978), 33–65.

[3] E. Basor, A localization theorem for Toeplitz determinants, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **28** (1979), no. 6, 975–983.

[4] A. Böttcher, B. Silbermann, Toeplitz operators and determinants generated by symbols with one Fisher-Hartwig singularity, *Math. Nachr.* **127** (1986), 95–123.

[5] P. Bleher, Lectures on random matrix models. The Riemann-Hilbert approach. Random matrices, random processes and integrable systems, 251–349, *CRM Ser. Math. Phys.*, Springer, New York, 2011.

[6] T. Bothner, P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, On the asymptotic behavior of a log gas in the bulk scaling limit in the presence of a varying external potential I, arxiv:1407.2910.

[7] P. Deift, Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics 3, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, RI, 1999.

[8] P. Deift, A. Its, I. Krasovky, Asymptotics of Toeplitz, Hankel, and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities, *Ann. of Math.* **174** (2011), 1243–1299.

[9] P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, On the asymptotics of a Toeplitz determinant with singularities, arXiv:1206.1292, to appear in MSRI publications.

[10] P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, Toeplitz Matrices and Toeplitz Determinants under the Impetus of the Ising Model: Some History and Some Recent Results, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **66** (2013), 1360–1438.

[11] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K.T-R McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **52** (1999), 1335–1425.

[12] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K.T-R McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **52** (1999), 1491–1552.

[13] F. Dyson, The Coulomb fluid and the fifth Painlevé transcendent, appearing in *Chen Ning Yang: A Great Physiscist of the Twentieth Century,* eds. C.S. Liu and S.-T. Yau, International Press, Cambridge, MA, pp. 131–146, 1955.

[14] T. Ehrhardt, A status report on the asymptotic behavior of Toeplitz determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities, *Operator Theory: Adv. Appl.* **124**, 217–241 (2001).

[15] M.E. Fisher and R.E. Hartwig, Toeplitz determinants: Some applications, theorems, and conjectures, *Advan. Chem. Phys.* **15** (1968), 333–353.

[16] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, and A.V. Kitaev, The isomonodromy approach to matrix models in 2D quantum gravity, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992), 395–430.

[17] I. Krasovsky, Gap probability in the spectrum of random matrices and asymptotics of polynomials orthogonal on an arc of the unit circle, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2004), 1249–1272

[18] I. Krasovsky, Asymptotics for Toeplitz determinants on a circular arc, arxiv:math/0401256.

[19] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, K. T-R McLaughlin, W. Van Assche, M. Vanlessen, The Riemann-Hilbert approach to strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials on $[-1, 1]$, *Adv. Math.* **188** (2004), 337–398

[20] E.B. Saff and V. Totik, Logarithmic potentials with external fields, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **316**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[21] B. Simon, Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle: classical theory, *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications* **54**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.

[22] H. Widom, The strong Szegő limit theorem for circular arcs, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **21** (1971), 277–283.

[23] H. Widom. Toeplitz determinants with singular generating functions. *Amer. J. Math.* **95** (1973), 333–383.